

OFFICER DECISION
DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORT AND REGULATORY SERVICES
23 JUNE 2023

**CONSIDERATION OF THE RESPONSES RECEIVED TO THE STATUTORY
TRAFFIC ORDER CONSULTATIONS TO INTRODUCE RENTAL E-BIKE BAYS IN
ROYAL HOSPITAL WARD.**

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 The number of trips made by rental e-bikes has increased greatly in RBKC over the last few years. However, the parking of rental e-bikes on narrow footways can cause a nuisance, particularly where the footway is obstructed for those using wheelchairs or buggies. In June 2023, the Council made a Key Decision to implement rental e-bike parking bays, and enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with operators to ensure that all rental e-bikes be parked in marked bays.
- 1.2 To assist rental e-bike operators in restricting their customers to the proposed parking bays, the Council needs to ensure they are reasonably well distributed so that a user never has to walk too far to pick up or drop off an e-bike. The Council has therefore consulted on creating 164 designated rental e-bike parking bays to help control where dockless bicycles can be parked.
- 1.3 This report sets out the consultation responses received to the proposals in Royal Hospital ward, with a recommendation on how to proceed for each proposal.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 Following consideration of all comments received, officers recommend that the Director of Transport and Regulatory Services proceed as set out in Table 1.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The parking of rental e-bikes on narrow footways can cause a nuisance to residents, particularly where the footway is obstructed for those using wheelchairs or buggies and so has proposed to create dedicated parking bays for use by e-bike hire operators and their customers in existing parking bays across the borough. This will allow the Council to bring more control to where bikes are parked and reduce the impact on pedestrians.

4 CONSULTATION RESPONSES

- 4.1 From 5 April to 17 May 2023, the Council undertook consultation on introducing rental e-Bike parking bays at eight locations in Royal Hospital ward. Residents living near the proposals received letters signposting them to the consultation and the consultation was available on the Council's consultation and

engagement hub. Local ward councillors, residents' associations and community groups were made aware of the consultations by email.

- 4.2 In total, 76 responses were received. Table 1 summarises the responses received and the recommendation on how to proceed. Officers did not agree with the objections in respect of seven of the eight sites with objections or support in part responses, and the reasons for this are set out in Section 5. Having considered the objections to the St Leonard's Terrace proposals, officers are recommending not to proceed with this location.

Table 1 – Summary of responses received.

Scheme	No. Objections	No. Support in Part	No. Support in Full	Recommendation
Cadogan Gardens	1	1	6	Proceed
Cheltenham Terrace	4	1	5	Proceed
Flood Walk	2	1	5	Proceed
Franklin's Row	4	2	5	Proceed
Ormonde Gate	0	2	5	Proceed
Radnor Walk	0	1	6	Proceed
St Leonard's Terrace	8	4	5	Do not proceed
Sloane Gardens	2	1	5	Proceed

5 CONSIDERATION OF OBJECTIONS

- 5.1 Appendix 1 lists the responses received to each location in full. Table 2 below illustrates the main themes of the objections or 'support in-part' responses received.

Table 2 – Objections/support in-part responses by theme

Scheme	Loss of parking space	Use an alternative location	Enforcement of E-Bike Schemes	Poor behaviour by cyclists	Other
Cadogan Gardens	0	0	1	1	1

Cheltenham Terrace	1	1	1	1	2
Flood Walk	2	0	1	0	1
Franklin's Row	3	1	1	0	1
Ormonde Gate	0	0	1	1	1
Radnor Walk	0	0	1	0	1
Sloane Gardens	1	0	1	0	2
TOTAL	15	7	8	3	9

5.2 Officer responses to the issues raised are detailed below:

Loss of parking space

5.3 Some respondents were concerned at the loss of car parking space to accommodate an e-bike parking bay.

Officer Response

5.4 The proposal has arisen following requests from residents to combat the nuisance and hazard dockless rental e-bikes can cause, particularly for people who have impaired vision or are using wheelchairs or buggies. Boroughs that have introduced on-footway e-bike parking bays have found that they need to be at least the size of a car in order to accommodate the number of bikes that are in circulation (ten dockless e-bikes require a space similar to one car parking space). Most footways in the borough are either not wide enough to accommodate a bay this size or (due to other footway furniture such as cycle parking stands, Santander cycle docks, seating, planting, etc) or, where they are wide enough, do not have a sufficient clear space. Consequently, most bays will need to be on the carriageway. The borough's parking restrictions have evolved to the degree that where e-bike parking would be safe and not risk causing an obstruction will only be where cars can currently be parked in marked bays. This reduction in car parking is thus a necessary outcome if residents want the Council to control where dockless e-bikes can be parked. There are just over 29,000 residents' parking spaces in the borough – far more than available pay-by-phone bays - so the 164 originally proposed bay conversions to dockless e-bike bays represents around 0.5 per cent. In comparison, residents' permit numbers are around 4 per cent lower now than pre-COVID.

Install the e-bike bay in an alternative location

5.5 Some respondents suggested alternative locations. One respondent to the Cheltenham Terrace proposal suggested siting the bay on the footway in Kings Road, where the pavement widens, in front of the shops. Respondents to the Franklin's Row proposal suggested several alternative sites including, the junction of Lower Sloane Street/Royal Hospital Road which is a pay-by-phone bay. Another respondent suggested using pay-by-phone bays.

Officer Response

- 5.6 Officers consider that the footway width on Kings Road is not wide enough to accommodate the space required for an e-bike parking bay.
- 5.7 Pay-by-phone bays are relatively few in number and are valued by residents as they provide space for visitors and trades people. There are just over 29,000 residents' parking spaces in the borough – far more than available pay-by-phone bays - so the 164 originally proposed bay conversions to dockless e-bike bays represents around 0.5 per cent. In comparison, residents' permit numbers are around 4 per cent lower now than pre-COVID.

Enforcement of rental e-Bike schemes

- 5.8 Some respondents objected to the proposals – or wanted more information – because they perceived that there is no enforcement of rental e-bike schemes and that the provision of designated parking bays for them may not achieve the intended outcome of reducing poor parking practices on footways.

Officer Response

- 5.9 Councils do not have the legislative power to effectively address the problem of rental e-bikes being left in inconvenient positions on footways. The Council expects to sign a Memorandum of Understanding with all operators, which would require all rental e-bike operators to specify a mandatory parking system for users, setting out a plan of how this will be enforced, incorporating warnings and fines.

Poor behaviour by cyclists

- 5.10 Respondents to objected to the proposals in Cadogan Gardens, Cheltenham Terrace and Ormonde Gate on the basis that cyclists exhibit poor behaviour such as footway riding or cycling the wrong way on one-way roads.

Officer Response

- 5.11 Whilst a small minority of people who cycle may exhibit poor cycling behaviour, this is not a reason to refuse to install rental e-bike parking, in the same way the Council would not refuse to provide car parking because a small minority of people who drive contravene traffic rules.

Other comments

- 5.12 Table 3 lists comments received sitting outside of the above themes, alongside officer responses.

Table 3 – ‘Other’ comments and officer responses.

	Comment	Officer Response
1	On behalf of the residents of Whitelands House, Cheltenham Terrace, I should like to object to the proposed e-bike parking bay at the north (Kings Road) end of Cheltenham Terrace as follows:	Taking the respondents points on by one: 1. Officers have no evidence that cyclists are more likely to delay or endanger pedestrians

<p>1. Cheltenham Terrace is a narrow one-way street exiting onto the Kings Road. To install a bike parking bay at the very busy junction where it meets the Kings Road would create more problems than it might be expected to solve. Large numbers of pedestrians cross the road at that point and, whereas cars will stop to let them through, cyclists are unlikely to do so.</p> <p>2. Already some bike users cycle the wrong way down Cheltenham Terrace because it is easier going that way than riding into the Kings Road. The cyclists seem unaware that cars can exit suddenly from the underground carpark and also from the Whitelands House driveway without warning, or that parked cars can start to turn out of their parking spaces.</p> <p>3. The cyclists appear to be not bothered by cycling direct into the oncoming traffic, swerving only at the last minute. This confuses the driver and puts pedestrians at risk because they're not expecting the cyclists to come from the "wrong" direction.</p> <p>4. A large number of delivery vans and workmen's vehicles are frequently visiting Whitelands House (comprising 114 flats), and these add to the number of vehicles queuing to exit into the Kings Road. To have the cyclists removing and returning their bikes on that busy corner would only add to the congestion. Removal lorries also frequently park for the day on the east side of Cheltenham Terrace.</p> <p>5. If a parking bay were installed at that point, the cyclists would be more likely than ever to</p>	<p>crossing Cheltenham Terrace than drivers do.</p> <p>2 and 3 – please see 5.11 above.</p> <p>4. There is no reason to think that the proposal will add to congestion any more than its current use as a parking space. Whilst some cyclists may opt to pick up and drop off from the footway side, this should take no more than a couple of minutes and is not expected to lead to congestion on the footway.</p> <p>5. Whilst a small minority of people who cycle may exhibit poor cycling behaviour, this is not a reason to refuse to install rental e-bike parking, in the same way the Council would not refuse to provide car parking because a small minority of people who drive contravene traffic rules.</p> <p>6. Officers do not consider the footway width in King's Road suitable for locating a rental e-bike parking bay.</p> <p>7. See the response to loss of parking at 5.4</p> <p>8. The concept of 'paying for the upkeep of the road' is outside the scope of this report, but saying that cyclists do not contribute towards upkeep of roads is inaccurate. Anyone paying Council tax for example, is contributing to the upkeep of the borough. The presence of children and the elderly in the area is not a good reason to avoid providing cycling facilities, they will not be unduly endangered by this proposal.</p>
---	---

	<p>cycle against the traffic down Cheltenham Terrace.</p> <p>6. A better place for the parking bay would be just round the corner, in the Kings Road, where the pavement widens, in front of the shops. This would be an obvious place for a cycle bay and would be clearly visible to those wishing to use it.</p> <p>7. A further point is that residents pay highly for Res Park spaces and do not wish to lose any more.</p> <p>8. We feel that enough is being done for cyclists who make no contribution to the upkeep of the road, and that the needs of pedestrians - particularly the elderly and those with children - should now be considered. There are a large number of schools in the area and the safety of children should be taken into account. Unlike car drivers, the cyclists will not stop to let anyone cross in front of them, nor alert others to their presence.</p> <p>[Cheltenham Terrace proposal]</p>	
2	<p>Sloane Square tube station has too many bike parking facilities already and it is untidy and dangerous for pedestrians using this area daily. A further parking bay for rentals outside 2 Sloane Gardens is unnecessary and unattractive because these bikes are dumped. It will also reduce the area available to safely cross the road to the tube.</p> <p>[Sloane Gardens proposal]</p>	<p>The cycle parking facilities at this location are certainly well used. The rental e-bike parking bay is proposed in the carriageway, so will reduce unnecessary clutter for pedestrians. The proposed site of the new bay is currently designated for resident parking, so there will be no change to the space available for pedestrians to cross, though visibility may well be improved.</p>
3	<p>Scheme should be trialled in a couple of areas to see whether it works.</p> <p>.</p> <p>[All proposals]</p>	<p>Since June 2021, the Council has been part of the London rental e-scooter trial which uses designated parking bays as the only places e-scooters can be parked. Officers have observed high compliance with parking of these vehicles and therefore believe that a similar</p>

		approach can be adopted for rental e-bike parking bays, without the need for a further trial.
--	--	---

Appendix 1: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Cadogan Gardens

Objection One

They are a menace to blind and elderly people.

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers

who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.
This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance. This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Support in Full Six

No comments.

Appendix 2: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Cheltenham Terrace

Objection One

Bikes being left on floor causing obstacle and nuisance for pedestrians

Objection Two

It is already difficult enough to navigate the narrow streets around Whitelands House without an additional nuisance. Very little thought is given to the fact that the area is residential and not just an entertainment area.

Objection Three

I write on behalf of the **Cheltenham Terrace Residents Association** with respect to the proposal within reference number S462 for a dockless cycle hire station in Cheltenham Terrace. In light of our comments and objections below, we reference other, and we believe better, proposals for the location of an additional station in the area. That necessitates liaison with other residents associations which will be the subject of a separate communication to you.

The objections are both general and specific.

General

We have the following questions and concerns:

1. Has an appropriate study been carried out to obtain data on the usage of the existing "Boris bike" stations?
2. Has any analysis been carried out of the extent to which the existing "Boris bays" could be used to accommodate storage of other rental e-bikes? We are unaware of any such study and whether there are for example insuperable obstacles to adapting the Boris bays to accommodate other types of e-bike.
3. Residents also question whether the parking proposals will work without appropriate and effective penalties being in place for rental users not storing bikes in the correct locations. We are here aware of the proposals being led by Councillor Kemahli to agree an appropriate scheme of fining to be administered by the rental operators, but we do not know where those proposals have got to as things stand. Also, how will compliance be encouraged if e-bikes cannot be used/generally are not being used once credit has run out in whatever location they may be at the relevant time?
4. Generally, if these e-bike parking bays and the proliferation of cycling that is expected to be encouraged by them leads to yet more rental cyclist on the streets, what if anything is proposed to be done to encourage more compliance by those users of the rules of the road? Cycling has become a semi-lawless activity in London, and generally many residents question the sense in encouraging more of it without appropriate steps being taken to ensure that it is carried out in a fashion that is respectful towards and safe for other road users and pedestrians.

Specific

5. There are a number of specific objections to the particular proposal to locate a parking bay at the northern end of Cheltenham Terrace close to the junction with the Kings Road, as follows:

That area is already heavily used and congested as a consequence of various competing users. First, and most importantly, there are very few immediately available public parking bays available to be used by the many residents of Whitelands House and the (much fewer) residents of Cheltenham Terrace. Every resident space at the northern end of the Kings Road is critical to the limited ability of 114 residents of Whitelands House to be able to park cars on the only public road adjacent to the entrance to their block of properties.

6. The junction of Cheltenham Terrace and Kings Road is already stressed for other reasons. It is routinely used by delivery vans as well as, for example, security vans collecting or delivering valuables to or from Lloyds Bank. Further, it is the first point at which the increasingly heavy retail footfall moving down the southern side of the Kings Road past Duke of York's Square meets oncoming traffic. As such there is a significant safety issue arising from the prospect of complicating the ingress and egress of traffic in that space by the imposition of a rental bay with the inevitable movements in and out of the bay that will follow.

7. Generally the particular area is too busy for it to be a safe location for yet another use, involving the slowing down, storage and collection of ebikes in a street that is too narrow to be suitable for such a purpose, particularly at the junction of a main road with so much footfall.

8. There is the further issue that Cheltenham Terrace is of course a one way street and so inevitably putting in a rental parking space on such a street will encourage some bike users to arrive or leave by cycling the wrong way down what is – as we stress above – already a narrow street towards the northern end. That cannot be a good idea in the interests of safety.

9. Insofar as this proposal needs to proceed without reference to the questions in our general comments above, we would encourage the Sustainable Travel Team at RBKC to consider an alternative location. If the objective is to include a cycle bay within sightlines of users of the Kings Road, a more obvious space immediately adjacent would be on the northern wall of the building that currently houses Soho House. The pavement there is much wider than on any other part of the Kings Road and could easily accommodate a cycle bay, and helpfully so in a space that is otherwise often occupied by beggars or street hawkers.

10. That said, we consider there may also be another alternative and better location a little further south, which could be designed in conjunction with the proposals for the e-bike parking bay in St Leonards Terrace and Franklins Row. The proposed bay in St Leonards Terrace could we believe helpfully be merged with the existing Boris bay on the corner with Durham Place, subject to the answers to the questions in the general section above. However, before we develop these proposals further we will need to liaise with other residents associations which is a topic that we intend to address through correspondence from the wider Royal Hospital and Duke of York's Area Residents Interest Group ("RIG").

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance.

This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Appendix 3: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Flood Walk

Objection One

It's a quiet residential one way street and introducing parking will invite drunk people to use it at night coming from bars and pubs on kings road. Why not just limit parking for e-bikes to busy commercial roads such as kings road and oakley street?

I use TfL's cycling scheme daily, why do we need these parasites (Lime, etc) claiming our streets for their monetary gains? Clearly they don't have anything to add except using public space to make profits.

Objection Two

I am strongly opposed to the realization of this project. I just bought a house directly in front and, based on previous experiences, this proposed project will highly impact the tranquility, available parking space, aesthetics, and general quality of life in our street.

[Additional Comments]

I hope this message finds you well. My name is [redacted], and I am a recent homeowner at [redacted]. I am writing to express my concerns and opposition regarding the planned installation of a rental e-bike parking bay in front of my new home, as outlined in the recent letter.

While I fully understand and appreciate the council's efforts to promote sustainable transportation and increase the availability of e-bike rentals throughout the borough, I believe that the proposed location for this parking bay would have several detrimental effects on our residential street.

1. Increased traffic: The introduction of a rental e-bike parking bay would likely increase traffic on Flood Street, disrupting the peace and tranquility that our quiet, residential neighborhood currently enjoys.
2. Aesthetics and property value: The presence of a large e-bike parking bay could negatively impact the aesthetic appeal of our street, potentially leading to a decline in property values for homeowners in the long term.
3. Reduced parking availability: The installation of an e-bike parking bay in front of my home would inevitably reduce the number of available parking spaces for residents, further exacerbating parking challenges in the area.

In light of these concerns, I kindly request that the council reconsider the proposed location for the e-bike parking bay. I propose that these facilities should be primarily situated on main streets with significant foot traffic, where their presence would be more beneficial and have less of an impact on residential areas.

I would be grateful for the opportunity to discuss this matter further with you or a representative of the council. I believe that by working together, we can find an alternative solution that better suits the needs of all parties involved.

Thank you for your attention to this matter, and I look forward to your response.

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users

2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance.

This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Appendix 4: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Franklin's Row

Objection One

As a resident I have to pay RBKC for a permit to park my vehicle. More often than not it is no where near my residence and I have to use one of the adjoining streets. By installing bay for cycle hire you will be removing more options for resident motorists to be able to park. Why does RBKC not consider using one of the pay and display bays? Or has this option been discounted as the Council will lose revenue?

Objection Two

There appear to be quite a few in the burton court area.

Objection Three

This is a poor location for a bike park where residents parking is under huge pressure from people driving in the Borough to park on the eastern extremity to access Sloane Sq tube exacerbate the shortage for local residents. There are usually more spaces for residents on Royal Hospital Road southside bear the junction with Lower Sloane St which would be a better choice of location rather than near the dense occupation of residents in the Burton Court blocks. As a bike rider myself I support the initiative generally but Franklin's Row is a very poor choice of location, Please reconsider.

Objection Four

The problem is that most e-bike riders are a bit unruly, and drive past one at a speed that should not be allowed especially on footpaths.

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel

9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance.

This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Appendix 5: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Ormonde Gate

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Part Two

The problem is that most e-bike riders are a bit unruly, and drive past one at a speed that should not be allowed especially on footpaths.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance.

This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Appendix 6: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Radnor Walk

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers

who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance.

This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Support in Full Six

How are you going to ensure that people park their bikes in the bays provided?

Appendix 7: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in St Leonard's Terrace

Objection One

It seems to me to be unnecessary to have bike hire on St Leonard's Terrace when there are ones proposed on Ormond Gate and Franklin's Row. We lost car parking bays when the Santander bike rack was placed on Durham Place some years ago, so it seems rather hard on residents in this small locality to take more of them away from us. These (Durham Place) bikes are not much used and it seems excessive to add another bike hire emplacement only a few yards away on St Leonard's Terrace.

Objection Two

To take away resident's parking to accommodate bikes that have become a nuisance due to the careless attitude of bike users is crazy. We need every inch of residents parking. parking suspensions due to building works reduces them already. People should have a right to park their car near their home especially as they pay for the right to do so.

Objection Three

We see no need or justification for the proposed e-bike bays in St Leonard's Terrace. We lost several car parking spaces a few years ago when the Santander bays were introduced. These bays are underutilised and it would seem logical that they should also be made available to other hire bike users. Residents pay for the use of residents parking spaces and given that local residents rarely use hire ebikes, why should we be expected to give up our valuable car parking spaces for hire bikes.

More generally, I suggest the Council reviews the utilisation of the Santander bays in the Borough and considers whether they could be shared with other hire bikes. This would avoid yet more unsightly street clutter and be a fairer solution for residents.

Objection Four

there is already adequate provision for bikes on the corner of Durham Place very close to St Leonards Terrace. It is usually very underused. Why cant e-bikes share it? We have already lost an unacceptable number of residents parking spaces which is making life difficult. Many residents here are elderly and find carrying shopping if they have to park miles away very difficult.

Objection Five

The council has already taken a very large space on Ormonde Gate and the corner of St Leonard's Terrace for rental bikes - there is space for 24 bikes but on average there are five bikes left there as it is not a popular spot for them. If you took back half or two thirds of that space there would still be ample room for the regular bikes and masses of room for the e-bikes without the need to take more parking spaces away from residents and pay and display parking on St Leonard's Terrace and Ormonde Gate.

I would mention a short distance away there is at the top of Royal Avenue where it meets the Kings Road there is a huge space for e-bikes.

Objection Six

We already have bike facilities adjacent to the proposed site in St Leonards Terrace provided by Santander. These are underused. Local residents are unlikely to use the new facilities. It might be worth considering sharing the bike stations with Santander. Providing more bikes which will rarely be used seems not only a waste of public money but they will take up much needed parking bays for which the residents pay.

Objection Seven

We lost several car parking spaces to the Boris bike bays (now Santander bikes) on the corner of StLT and Durham Place when the Boris bikes were introduced and the proposed e-bike site would deprive residents of yet more car parking bays for which we pay a yearly fee. The Santander facility on the corner of StLT and Durham Place is little used

Few residents in our area use hire bikes and there is little bike traffic in our streets

Given the underutilised Boris bike facility on the corner of StLT and Durham Place, this existing facility should be made available to other e-bike hire bikes. There is no evidential justification for adding more e-bike parking spaces in StLT and creating more street clutter

Objection Eight

We are inundated in this area with Santander bikes (ormond gate and by Mac Donald's) and e scooters (Mac Donald's) and e bikes (army museum on royal hospital) none of which get used much if at all. We are desperately short of parking spaces due to increase use of museum, royal hospital, chelsea Physic - in addition we need to focus on the untrained, un helmeted people who use these bikes!

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces

3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving

3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance. This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.

Appendix 8: Responses received for proposed e-bike bay in Sloane Gardens

Objection One

Sloane Square tube station is over served with bike Parking rack facilities already. It is grossly untidy and dangerous for thousands of pedestrians using this area daily. A further Parking bay for rentals outside 2 Sloane Gardens is completely unnecessary and severely unattractive because these bikes are dumped. It will also reduce the area available to safely cross the road to the tube.

Objection Two

As one of the last streets in K&C, the pressure on residents bays here is intense with other RBKC residents driving up to here to then use the tube to commute + the shopping/dining etc offering in the area. My record for trying to find a parking space currently stands at 1 1/2 hours driving round and round in ever increasing circles.

I whole-heartedly support e-transport but to remove residents bays (which there are not nearly enough of) will just cause even more congestion and pollution.

Put the bays in but perhaps remove some pay and display (Holbein Place has lots)

Add to single yellow routes where suitable. Some of us do not (and cannot afford) electric cars.

Thank you.

Support in Part One

These comments apply to all the parking bays being converted to e-bike parking spaces. How will the new e-bike bays stop people leaving bikes anywhere on the pavement as they do now? What penalty will there be for people who continue to leave them on the pavement and how will it be levied? Shouldn't the hire companies be made responsible to go round and collect the bikes, as they know where they are? People may use the e-bikes for short journeys, I can't imagine people giving up their cars to use e-bikes. The loss of so many parking spaces will put even more strain on those that remain. People pay for their Residents' Permits and, already, more permits are sold than there are available spaces, so this proposal is not fair to them. In summary, those who leave their bikes where they get off them won't change their habits. Residents will be forced to struggle even more for parking, and the Council will continue to collect revenue from permits and fines from people who feel forced to park in empty or under-used e-bike bays. Rather than apply this scheme wholesale and risk a potentially expensive disaster, please trial it in a couple of areas first to see whether it actually works.

Support in Full One (Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea)

I am submitting this consultation response on behalf of Better Streets for Kensington and Chelsea (BS4KC), an organisation made up of RBKC residents also representing the views of people studying or working in the borough.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

BS4KC welcomes and fully supports the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough. Dockless cycle hire bikes are providing convenient and sustainable travel options for many RBKC residents as well as those studying, working or visiting the borough. Their popularity is very much evident when walking down any street in the borough and these proposals rightly address some of the unintended consequences of dockless hire bike schemes. As such the proposals fully align with the RBKC plan of being a greener, safer and fairer borough;

1. Supporting and enabling greater use of dockless hire bikes as replacement for short car journeys is sustainable, improves local air quality and supports improving health and wellbeing
2. Providing dedicated parking bays off the pavement improves the safety for pedestrians and for those hiring bikes as they will have designated spaces
3. In a borough where the majority of households do not have access to a motor vehicle, meeting the needs of those wishing to not travel by car and repurposing some kerb space dominated by parked cars is fairer and goes towards meeting the needs of more RBKC residents and visitors

With the introduction of any new service there is a need to provide suitable infrastructure. It is recognised that dockless cycle hire bikes have in most places no designated parking provision therefore users usually leave them where their ride ends. Some make efforts to park the bike without causing obstruction however in most cases users do park the bikes on pavements (as do the hire companies in some cases). This invariably causes obstruction to pedestrians and impacts most on those who have mobility issues. On busy streets it causes pedestrian congestion with so much space taken up by cycle hire bikes (and other pavement clutter). Increasingly hire bike users are attempting to not cause obstructions on the pavement however there is no designated infrastructure for them so even the most well meaning users who leave the bikes between parked cars or in the carriageway also end up causing unintended inconveniences and risks. Designated cycle hire bays would address the vast majority of current issues associated with dockless hire bike schemes.

The proposals to create designated cycle hire bays on the carriageway are wholly appropriate to meet the needs of those wanting to make use of sustainable and healthy travel choices while managing the impact this has had to date on pedestrians and on pedestrian spaces.

The majority of households in the borough do not have access to a motor vehicle, in some wards this is the significant majority, therefore it is right for the council to be reviewing how space is effectively used to meet the travel needs of those who do not drive or choose not to drive short distances. At the moment the kerb space in residential areas is dominated by increasingly large motor vehicles, it is fair and proportionate that some of this space be designated for on street cycle bays.

Carriageway cycle bays:

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

A map of proposed locations would have been helpful to provide an easy view of coverage for proposed locations, some comments:

1. There should be extra provision for bays outside or near tube stations or busy connection points, often cycle hire is part of a multi-modal journey and providing convenient connection with public transport is important. It is also outside tube stations where dockless bikes are often left by companies and users in significant volumes, this causes significant pavement congestion.
2. Bays should also be conveniently located near schools, GP's, hospitals and other such places to enable greater short local journeys to be made by bike than driving
3. It should be the aim to have such bays on every road with a maximum walking distance to ensure cycle hire provision is convenient for everyone across the borough, user compliance will also increase if bays are easy to find and are visible
4. It would be good for bays to have residential cycle parking facilities adjacent with planting to improve kerbside space
5. The bays should have planting or bollards to clearly designate bays for cycle parking and provide protection for cycles parked in the bays and avoid vehicles encroaching, parking or stopping in such bays

BS4KC continues to raise the need for the council to provide safe cycle routes along main roads East-West and North-South road corridors, this is especially needed as the popularity of such hire schemes increases and more people choose to cycle.

We therefore fully support all the proposed locations listed in the consultation and do not consider any objections based on the concerns around car parking provision should impede the approval of any of these locations, the benefits significantly outweigh any perceived inconvenience to a small number of drivers who may need to find alternative car parking on occasions. Such concerns are mitigated by the sheer number of options open to car drivers at present through the generous resident permit scheme, PAYG bays and car parks located across the borough.

Support in Full Two

I am submitting this consultation response in a personal capacity.

This response covers all the proposed locations for rental bike bays.

I fully support the proposals brought by RBKC to introduce dockless cycle hire bays in the carriageway across the borough for the following reasons;

1. Provide convenient designated locations for hire users
2. Are space efficient where they replace one car parking bay (often used by one car for most of the day) cycle bays enable greater utilisation with capacity for 6+ bikes and will have a greater turnover of use given they are hire bikes
3. Addresses many of the concerns expressed by residents and others about the impact of dockless hire bikes on pavements and impact on pedestrians
4. Improve pedestrian experience and safety
5. Improve the safety for hire users using the carriageway at present for parking
6. Reduce conflict between pedestrians and cyclists (negating need to mount pavement to park bikes)
7. Alleviate the increasing instances where bikes are parked between cars or in carriage way by providing designated fit for purpose infrastructure
8. Will promote sustainable travel in the borough, convenience is a key enabler for increased active travel
9. Proven to be effective as carriageway bays already implemented by other London authorities e.g. City of London

Support in Full Three

I support ANY location for ebike parking spaces. These bikes just tossed around all over the place are the bane of our lives and a serious hazard for wheelchair users, pushchairs and just pedestrians as a whole

Support in Full Four

I think it is better to have designated spaces to leave these bikes rather than to have them littered all over the pavement causing pinch-points and trip/fall hazards. The City of London as done similar for quite a while and the bikes are much tidier yet still readily available so this proposal works. Collaboration with the bike providers whereby GPS is used to charge people who leave them other than in designated areas incentivises compliance.

This is a general comment which applies to all of the proposed sites, but your consultation document insists on appending comments to one particular site. This has been appended to the site nearest to where I live but applies to all.

Support in Full Five

I support the installation of on street bike parking spaces in all the places proposed. The use of cars in RBKC should be reduced in any case.