

Appendix 1: Responses received for “Lexham Gardens - Proposed sinusoidal road hump traffic calming” consultation

Objection – One

ABSOLUTELY UNECESSARY USE OF FUNDS, Lexham Gardens is fine. Whatever you say cars ALWAYS accelarate between humps meaning the noise will be far worse than it is now. I have a 3yo son, and STILL I have encountered no danger on our roads. For God’s sake please don’t waste money this way.

Objection – Two

Because I believe this will further increase the traffic issues that have happened since Lexham Mews was turned in to a one way system. Since this was introduced we have standstill traffic at least once a day which is very noisy, smelly and inconvenient to residents of Stratford Road. Lexham Gardens is a much wider and more suitable road for traffic and to put in these speed bumps would only encourage more people to use an already overused street.

Objection – Three

It will only increase noise to neighbouring properties.

If speed control is required; please install speed cameras and fine offenders.

Objection – Four

The speed of vehicles along the road does not require it. It is very unusual that an idiot speeds down the road.

I am the parent of 3 young children living on Lexham Gardens and am therefore very sensitive to vehicle speed due to my children’s safety.

Objection – Five

I think we're trying to solve a problem that doesn't exist on Lexham Gardens. We don't actually get many speeding vehicles. I don't want the disruption of the works. But more importantly, I think that parking on the road is the most challenging thing and that the speed bumps will only make that harder - even if you don't go to the parking bays, reversing from the bumps will be harder and more liable to accidents and making parking in tight spots harder. This will mean fewer parking spots and more difficulty finding a place to park.

Objection – Six

Prefer the light signal that tells the speed .

There is one in Lexham gardens and really work. People follow it

Objection – Seven

I live in the middle of the street together with my 18 month old daughter [REDACTED]. I am strongly opposed to traffic humps. I have lived here for 20 years and have no problem negotiating the road and have not had any problems with my pram the last 18 months. I consider it will slow down cars and create slower traffic more emissions frankly as you slow down the traffic and more of a build up of traffic. There hasn't been a problem for over 20 years I've lived here so why create this? Please don't. I don't want to be forced to move away with my child.

Objection – Eight

There is no. need in Lexham Gardens for the humps.

1. There is little traffic most of the day (I use Lexham Gardens as a pedestrian every day, and often at the 'peak' period of around 5.pm and a car is rarely encountered.)
2. the sinusoidal humps still pose a problem for cyclists and scooters alike
3. Why when 1 RESIDENT lodges an application can this be entertained?
4. The cost is a wasteful use of funds to satisfy the ultimate 'nimbus' of one resident.
5. I am shocked that the Abingdon Councillors support this incredible inconvenience and waste to other residents.

Objection – Nine

Unnecessarily disruptive. I have lived here for 8 years and haven't found traffic speeds an issue. We do not need any further disruption to this road and this is an unnecessary expense.

Objection – Ten

I have lived in the mews for nearly 30 years and have never witnessed cars travelling too fast through the gardens. It is important to differentiate between the situation on the north side of the gardens versus the south. The north side is very narrow and only accessed by residents who are looking for a parking space - it is not a thoroughfare.

There is a 20mph speed limit and a speed repeater on the south side and when I have followed cars in an easterly direction I have always observed them being below the speed limit.

I am not aware that there is a problem with speeding cars - my house looks straight down the mews but I don't see cars speeding. Before spending money, the council should ascertain if there is a problem and then consult the residents. It seems rather odd that on the whim of one resident, the council should consider spending what will be a considerable sum on measures that are not required. By way of comparison I have returned home today to find that council contractors have painted the yellow one around the mews AGAIN but naturally they did not move the bins so there sections that have not been painted. They have of course painted outside my garage where I will have to laboriously chisel the paint away to allow the water to drain because when the contractors relaid the tarmac some years ago, they made such a bad job that the rain water will not drain away. But that is another story.

So I do not believe there is a problem with speeding cars, particularly on the north side. These speed bumps will be a waste of resident's money.

Secondly the gardens are a very pleasant place to live and adding more street furniture (humps and signs) will only detract from a most agreeable vista

I would strenuously urge the council not go ahead with this proposal

Objection – Eleven

I strongly disagree with the proposal to introduce sinusoidal road humps within the Lexham Gardens area. Having been a resident in Lexham Gardens for nearly 20 years, I have absolutely no understanding as to the necessity of these road humps. There is no evidence of speeding in the area, nor any collisions as a result of vehicle access to Lexham Gardens. For these reasons alone, that renders the proposal purposeless. I do not feel that this is a good use of Council funds to make these interventions at the request of one resident in the area. I would much rather the Council used this money for more worthwhile causes such as supporting young entrepreneurs & small businesses within our thriving community.

Objection – Twelve

I have been a resident for nearly 30 years and have never been aware of a problem of excessive speed in the area in which the proposed road humps are to be installed. In the absence of any evidence of accident statistics caused by excessive speed I do not consider the proposal to be a good use of scarce funding which could be put to better use in other areas.

Objection – Thirteen

Not necessary and will be very annoying for residents.

Objection – Fourteen

I think it is unnecessary, there is already a 20 mph speed limit. The money would be much better spent on repairing potholes and other community needs.

Objection – Fifteen

I am NOT supporting. The humps are going to increase traffic coming from Marloes Road even more.

This is not a constructive way to invest K&C limited funds.

Please do rather invest money on the Cromwell Rd pavement outside Sainsburys. I have already fallen and injured my shoulder.

That is a disaster waiting to happen.

Objection – Sixteen

This is not a constructive use of our council tax, that you wish to increase. This side road does not help the congestion of Marlow Road (the road is blocked whenever there is bus or large lorry) nor support of bicycle traffic (cyclists do not use Lexham Gardens instead the traffic is Grenville Place); the only dangerous points are at the entrance of Lexham Gardens Mews and Sainsbury's. The noise is from Cromwell Road, if you add these speed pumps in Lexham Gardens you will create noise at night which at present non existent.

Objection – Seventeen

While I agree that speed bumps are an effective method of slowing down traffic, I don't think there is a significant speeding problem any more in Lexham Gardens. The only part of the street where there has been a problem is the stretch on the south side of the garden square. The introduction of the speed indicator in this area has already considerably reduced the amount of speeding that occurs there. It is next to impossible to speed in the other sections of the street, due to the narrowness of the road and the fact that it is almost always fully parked up on both sides. In particular, the section on the northern side of the garden square is so narrow and parked up that it would be very difficult to speed there.

For the above reasons, I think that installing speed bumps in Lexham Gardens is a waste of public money, which could be put to much better use elsewhere. If any are to be installed, the only point stretch where they would serve any purpose is along the southern side of the garden square. I am not aware of any speeding issues anywhere else on the street.

Objection – Eighteen

Completely unnecessary. The road is already set out in an L shape so cars have to naturally slow down as they come round the bend. These humps are just going to be an extra nuisance and I completely oppose them.

Objection – Nineteen

The speed limit on Lexham Gardens is 20 mph. Bicycles now travel faster down this lane than do cars. Additional Sinusoidal road humps are just a nuisance if the speed limit is being adhered to. Suggest that scarce funds be spent on better avenues than just making the lane an endless obstacle course.

Objection – Twenty

I feel that whilst lexham gardens as a whole may benefit from this scheme people living between 4 -10 will feel far more impact from the noise that cars driving over said bumps causes. Historically drivers generally drive far faster than they should, even over speed bumps. Why is there not a bump immediately cars come round the bend (25 lexham gardens) cars could still accelerate round the corner with no need to break until @ 18/20.

In short, whilst the scheme might be a good idea i think they way the council is proposing to execute it lacks sufficient thought or common sense

Objection – Twenty one

There's a 20 mph limit in Lexham Gardens and most drivers obey this speed limit, including taxis and white vans, so I don't think that putting obstacles in the road materially helps - it's just a symptom of a general attitude problem in London boroughs, with officious people conducting a clandestine war on cars, vans and motorbikes. I'm a long term resident of Lexham Gardens and the most dangerous incidents have been caused by bicycles and scooters on pavements. Maybe instead you should put sinusoidal road bumps on pavements instead. Alternatively maybe you could expend more money and effort in stopping dangerous cyclists from killing people, something that ridiculously appears to be legal, and in vogue in London right now.

Objection – Twenty two

Humps are a bad for the environment resulting in increased wear and tear on cars and van especially tires creating dirt and increased fuel consumption providing more noise. They do not serve a need as the average traffic speed is low especially on the northern section which is one way so they do not fulfill any purpose. The fastest vehicles using these roads are the delivery bikes both electric petrol and even man powered and these are not deterred by speed bumps as they can take them at speed in any case.

Where I work there are speed bumps installed in a 5 mph zone and the delivery vans make a habit of taking them at speed to see if they can get all four wheels off the ground at the same time. Scarcely a good practice but they are not driving their own vehicles and they do not care much about the contents.

Objection – Twenty three

We do not notice any particular speeding cars, and speed bumps tend to cause motorists to slow down, but then speed up immediately afterwards which is noisier and more polluting.

Objection – Twenty four

There isn't any noticeable speeding in Lexham Gardens at present. I've noticed that speed bumps tend to cause speeding between each bump because the motorist is clearly annoyed. This won't help our pollution problem.

Objection – Twenty five

Absolutely against it. I have seen it in other streets in the area. We really do not have too much traffic on this street. I have lived on this street since 1976 and there is no need for traffic calming. Marloes Road is always busy and to put road bumps all around Lexham Gardens will only add to traffic as cars turn into Lexham Gardens to escape the stationary traffic, only to have to really

slow down to go over the bumps thus causing more traffic. Most cars in streets with bumps hit the under carriage of their cars which produce additional noise disturbance and nuisance to the residents at all times of day and night. So NO THANK YOU!

Objection – Twenty six

You are spending money on unnecessary things. Road bumps are not need. No accidents have happened in Lexham Gardens. Its seems the council is spending its money for spending their budget and not actually putting it where it is needed and this proposal in itself is proof. THERE IS NO NEED FOR SPEED BUMPS IN LEXHAM GARDENS AND THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A NEED FOR THEM.

You should spend money on projects that matter unlike this what you did with Grenfell Tower!

The Road Bumps will not benefit the community just the council spending its budget!

Objection – Twenty seven

I do not see that there is a problem with speed in Lexham Gardens

Objection – Twenty eight

Seem that the problem has been reduced and we could allocate the money somewhere else.

Objection – Twenty nine

not justified in a 20mph zone with parking on both sides of a normal street

Objection – Thirty

While I agree that speed bumps are an effective method of slowing down traffic, I am not convinced there is a significant speeding problem any more in Lexham Gardens for the following reasons:

- The only part of the street where we are aware there has been a problem in the past is the stretch on the south side of the garden square.
- The introduction of the speed indicator in this area and the general introduction of a regional 20mph limit has already considerably reduced the amount of speeding that occurs there.
- It is next to impossible to speed in the section to the north of the garden square, due to the narrowness of the road and the fact that it is almost always fully parked up on both sides.

- It is generally difficult to speed in the dog leg running down to Cromwell Road, due to the fact that there is often traffic slowing down for the turn into Pennant Mews and delivery traffic for the hotels along there.

- It is also difficult to speed on the section running between Marloes Road and Earl's Court Road, due to the fact that it is almost always fully parked up on both sides and there is often double parking with cars, taxis, minicabs and delivery vans. Also, this section serves no useful purpose as a rat run.

- Having examined the similar bumps that have been installed in Hogarth Road, we feel that they are of limited effectiveness and we saw little evidence that traffic was being slowed down by them.

Given the above reasons, I feel that installing speed bumps in Lexham Gardens is a waste of public money, which could be put to much better use elsewhere.

Objection – Thirty one

The bumps won't add more benefit due to London speed limit has now reduced to 20mph. Garden has become much quieter, and bumps could cause more problems for bicycles try to squeeze between bumps and parked cars. or for people cross the road to get to the cars. thanks

Objection – Thirty two

I think that the road between no 48-76 lexham garden and the garden does not have too many cars and it is a small narrow street to install road humps..

It will be more difficult for the cars to park with to park with the road humps

Objection – Thirty three

As a long-term resident of Lexham Gardens, I am writing to respectfully object to the proposed installation of sinusoidal road humps in our neighborhood. While I understand the intentions behind the traffic calming measures, I have several concerns that I believe merit serious consideration.

1. Noise and Disruption from Construction:

The construction required to install these road humps will inevitably cause significant noise and disruption. As someone who resides directly along the proposed route, I am particularly worried about the impact on my daily life. The noise from the works will be highly distracting and inconvenient, especially for those of us who work from home or have young children.

2. Potential Damage to Vehicles:

Sinusoidal road humps, despite their design to minimize noise, can still cause wear and tear on vehicles. This is particularly concerning for residents like myself who regularly drive on this road. The constant negotiating of these humps can lead to increased maintenance costs and potential damage to our vehicles.

3. Questionable Necessity:

I have observed traffic patterns in Lexham Gardens and have not found excessive speeding to be a significant issue (especially on the inner on-way road behind the gardens). It is unclear why such measures are deemed necessary when the current traffic conditions do not seem to warrant such interventions. I believe that before implementing such measures, a thorough assessment and evidence of the necessity should be provided to the residents.

4. Impact on Emergency Services:

Road humps can also impede the smooth operation of emergency vehicles. In situations where every second counts, the presence of these humps could delay response times. This is a serious concern that affects not just individual residents but the community as a whole. Especially with having a hospital near the implementation road.

5. Alternative Solutions:

I urge the Council to consider alternative traffic calming measures that may be less intrusive and disruptive. For example, increased signage, road markings, or the installation of speed cameras could be effective without the need for physical road humps.

As a dedicated member of this community, I value the Council's efforts to improve our neighborhood. However, I believe that the proposed sinusoidal road humps are not the optimal solution and would instead bring about more inconvenience than benefit. I trust that my concerns, along with those of other residents, will be carefully considered before a final decision is made.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. I am hopeful for a resolution that takes into account the well-being and preferences of the residents of Lexham Gardens.

Objection – Thirty four

There is no longer a significant speeding problem in Lexham Gardens due to the 20mph speed limit and the huge number of parked vehicles, especially along the narrower stretches of road, in some cases both sides, as a result of which speeding is impossible. I believe the proposed road bumps would be a useless aggravation and a great waste of money needed elsewhere.

Objection – Thirty five

There is already a speed limit of 20 mph on Lexham Gardens. There is not an excess of traffic on the road.

Road humps are an invasive measure and are uncomfortable as a driver or passenger and as a cyclist. Family members have back issues and the road humps exacerbate the pain.

I am concerned that more cyclists may use the pavement than currently do so as have personally had a few near misses when stepping out onto the pavement.

The proposed Road humps will increase the noise on the road.

There is no benefit to the residents that will use the road every day.

I note that a resident has secured funding for this and as a fellow resident I reject this proposal for road humps.

I propose that NCIL is used for additional electric charging points are introduced for the residents, or maybe free open access of the gardens for all residents.

Perhaps the council could look at the phasing of the traffic lights on Marloes Road with those on Knaresborough Road to allow more free flowing traffic and maybe less traffic on Lexham Gardens

Objection – Thirty six

I have lived at [REDACTED] Lexham Gardens for over 18 years. I have not observed any problem with speeding traffic. I have seen emissions surveys being taken and have been told that emissions are at a reasonable level, considering our proximity to Cromwell Road. I spoke to the Senior Traffic Engineer, [REDACTED], as I am extremely concerned that putting in speed humps will adversely affect the level of emissions in the street. The plan shows a hump directly outside our house. My daughter who has asthma and allergies has her bedroom at basement level on the road. I greatly fear that cars and more importantly larger vehicles slowing and then accelerating right outside her window will cause her health problems. [REDACTED] confirmed that based on previous surveys Lexham Gardens has not been identified as problematic in respect of speeding vehicles or accidents. I have never heard of any sort of an accident in the street. Has any survey been done to check this? I find it astonishing that one resident can apply for such a major change to the street, with resulting damage for many other residents including noise and emissions

pollution, without any need for this or any proven problem. Until a proper survey has been done of speeds in the street, it seems a completely inappropriate action. In addition speed humps are very uncomfortable and dangerous for cyclists. We are living in a time of problems caused by too much pollution and these speed bumps will make pollution in our street worse. Residents should certainly be advised of what the resulting increase in emissions and road noise will be. Thank you

Objection – Thirty seven

Increases noise and pollution from tailpipes, tyres and brakes

Objection – Thirty eight

We've been residents for 11 years and have to cross the road every morning to go to the school. Speeding cars do not represent a problem for us. Pollution and noise from the cars, on the other hand, are much bigger issues.

Objection – Thirty nine

There is the occasional speeding car but it is not a significant problem.

The nuisance caused by accelerating and braking cars vans and lorries will be much worse. Also the most dangerous pollution (small particle) may be increased. Why is there no environmental statement?

Objection – Forty

There is no need for speed bumps on our road and it would only increase noise & emission pollution!

Objection – Forty one

I visit my sister in law on my bicycle and find speed bumps not safe

Objection – Forty two (late objection)

I would be grateful if you will consider my comments on the above even though consultation is now a few days out of date.

- I strongly disagree with the need for road humps in Lexham Gardens. I live here 24/7 and I cannot see any need for road calming. Why should resident car owners have to put up with the inconvenience and discomfort of road humps on a road where there is no real need for them.
- Lexham Gardens runs parallel and slightly North of Cromwell Road, one of the most polluted areas of London. Far from benefitting the environment road humps increase pollution as they need to be negotiated in lower gears meaning higher

revs. And in spite of your claims for sinusoidal humps they do not stop drivers accelerating and braking. It seems inconceivable that you should grant an application that would increase pollution in an area that is already highly polluted, without any clear benefit to residents.

- I suspect that those who support the proposal are not car owners. However, there are many car owners in Lexham Gardens many of whom are elderly and need to use their cars daily. Road humps cause wear and tear on engines, suspension and brakes (all bad for the environment) and they also cause discomfort to backs and other limbs.

May I ask you to think carefully about the proposal which, if granted, would cause considerably more harm than good. Our money should be spent elsewhere!

Support in Full – One

[No comment]

Support in Full – Two

[No comment]

Support in Full – Three

[No comment]

Support in Full – Four

[No comment]

Support in Full – Five

[No comment]

Support in Full – Six

[No comment]

Support in Full – Seven

[No comment]

Support in Full – Eight

[No comment]

Support in Full – Nine

[No comment]

Support in Full – Ten

[No comment]

Support in Full – Eleven

Motorists use Lexham Gardens as a short cut to Cromwell Rd and accelerate at great speed.

Support in Full – Twelve

I totally agree with the proposal. It will improve safety of the pedestrians, especially children and elderly residents crossing the street, reduce noise from the vehicles, reduce emissions and in general make the neighbourhood more attractive.

Support in Full – Thirteen

[No comment]

Support in Full – Fourteen

[No comment]

Support in Full – Fifteen

I support the proposal in principle. The installation of 5 or 6 sinusoidal road humps on Lexham Gardens - Western Arm would be preferable.

Support in Full – Sixteen

This is a very good plan . I notice very few drivers pay any attention at all to the flashing 20 mph sign and speed dangerously down Lexham Gardens from Marloes Road past Lexham House through to the Cromwell Road.

Delivery riders on their mopeds are particularly bad.

This I hope the plan is implemented.

Support in Full – Seventeen

Very dangerous at times crossing over Marloes Road/Lexham Gardens, cars going too fast!

Support in Full – Eighteen

Lexham Gardens is increasingly used as a cut-through from Marloes Rd to Cromwell Rd with cars, vans, trucks, buses & motorbikes using the relatively clear stretch of road to race at dangerously high speeds down this residential road.

Lexham Gardens is also used by cyclists and pedestrians, including children & their carers who are using the gardens. (A playgroup uses the Lexham Gardens regularly for play time sessions).

Lexham Gardens is also used by the many visitors and tourists who stay in the small hotels along Lexham Gardens.

The SID has shown a steady increase in traffic down this road.

Anything we can do to slow down and deter speeding traffic would make ours a safer and calmer neighbourhood.

Support in Full – Nineteen

I wholeheartedly support the proposed installation of 10 sinusoidal speed humps. Cars have been driving far too quickly along this stretch which makes for a tremendous noise but is also seriously dangerous for the residents that live here.

Support in Full – Twenty

There is too much traffic using Lexham Gardens as a shortcut and car speed is often way above the limit

Support in Full – Twenty-one

[No comment]

Support in Full – Twenty-two

Agree with this initiative, in particular to deter rat-run activity on west-side of Lexham Gardens.

However, there is far TOO MUCH SIGNAGE! This needs to be significantly reduced to avoid visual clutter. Well-marked humps are certainly visible to motorists, and signs, particularly in the middle of the roads are unnecessary and will detract from the visual appear of the road.

Support in Full – Twenty-three

[No comment]

Support in Full – Twenty-four

[No comment]

Support in Full – Twenty-five

[No comment]

Support in Full – Twenty-six

The southern part of the road around the gardens is frequently subject to vehicles travelling at high speed. Anything to slow them down will make the area safer.

Support in Full – Twenty-seven

[No comment]

Support in Full – Twenty-eight

I strongly support the bumpson the side of Lexham Gardens where Lexham House is as the noise from speeding race cars and motorcycles late evening time is too much to bear.

Support in Full – Twenty-nine

[No comment]

Support in Full – Thirty

[No comment]

Support in Full – Thirty-one

[No comment]

Support in Full – Thirty-two

Urgent need to control traffic speed - road used as a rat run from Marloes Rd to Cromwell Rd

Support in Full – Thirty-three

But I don't want the road hump to be placed under my windows.

My bedroom is facing steer side and cars going over the humps will make noise, especially busses and vans.

Support in Full – Thirty-four

[No comment]

Support in Full – Thirty-five

[No comment]

Support in Full – Thirty-six

Traffic calming is essential to reduce the inconsiderate and often dangerous way drivers behave when accessing Lexham Gardens.

Support in Full – Thirty-seven

This will help to prevent cars from speeding (screaming!) down Lexham Gardens, day and night.

Support in Full – Thirty-eight

I live on a ground floor flat on the Eastern corner of Lexham Gardens. I watch everyday as cars and motorbikes accelerate hard out of that corner towards Cromwell Road, often easily hitting 40mp and then braking hard for the junction. I have no idea why this piece of road is treated like that, possibly because it's a cut through that avoids traffic lights at Marloes and Cromwell? It is so unpleasant and really quite dangerous. There's also the added annoyance that so many of the cars seem to be high performance models with very loud engines and exhausts. And especially at night time when it's all a bit more quiet the sound of expensive luxury sports cars accelerating as hard as they can just outside my window is especially horrible.

I totally support this idea to try and calm the traffic but also wonder if a cheaper idea might be to simply put a barrier up somewhere in the road so Lexham Gardens essentially becomes a cul-de-sac? The road around the park I think would allow a number of options to be considered as to where to put the barrier. I'm sure this has been considered (issues with trucks and deliveries having to turn around no doubt). Anyway, it would be excellent if something can be done to slow cars down. Speed bumps seems sensible in that respect.

Support in Full – Thirty-nine

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty

The eastern arm of Lexham Gardens has long been a 'rat run' to Cromwell Road and cars often race down the road ignoring the recently installed speed indicator.

Support in Full – Forty-one

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty-two

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty-three

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty-four

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty-five

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty-six

[No comment]

Support in Full – Forty-seven

[No comment]

Support in Part – One

The current proposal is a significant overkill for the “problem”. There is no reason to install a bump on the north side of the garden square, there is no issue there. The proposal has too many bumps on the south side and the spur. There will be increased noise and exhaust pollution with each bump, the minimum necessary should be used - two on the south side and one on the spur. Alternative measures should be combined to make the system cost effective and practically effective: additional ‘know your speed’ electronic warning signs and/or making all or part of the square one-way (eg one-way off the Cromwell Rd and/or around the square clockwise). The current proposal is too extensive and risks replacing one problem with another.

Support in Part – Two

- Speed bumps are a proven and effective method of slowing down traffic. There is still speeding, and an inappropriate high volume of traffic, on the south side of the garden square side of Lexham Gardens. Therefore, speed bumps are needed on the south side of LG square (but not on the north side of LG Square, and not as many are needed elsewhere as are currently proposed).
- The introduction of the speed indicator on this south side of LG Square sometimes helps, but many times it does not. Cars queueing in Marloes Road regularly use the south side of LG Square as a rat run, to try to reach Cromwell Road more quickly. They race down the south side of LG Square, as they have been frustrated having had to queue and wait in Marloes Road, until they reach the corner with Lexham Gardens. Depending on the time of day, and no matter the timing of the lights at the junction of Marloes Road and Cromwell Road, traffic regularly backs up Marloes Road. Therefore, as soon as they can exit Marloes Road, drivers career down the south side of Lexham Gardens Square in an effort to get back to Cromwell Road -- especially, but not exclusively, taxis, delivery vans, Uber drivers, etc. In any event, it is proven that speed

indicators are less effective than speed bumps in slowing traffic and reducing accidents. Therefore, the speed indicator in this spot is not sufficient.

- It is impossible to speed in the small section to the north of the garden square, and so speeding there is not a problem whatsoever. The road is too narrow to speed, and is only used by the few residents who live and park on that side. It is not, and cannot be, a rat run. Therefore, there is absolutely no need to install any speed bumps or traffic calming measures on the north side of the square, and that proposal should be discontinued.
- It is possible, but not so easy to speed in the spur road running from the south corner of Lexham Gardens to Cromwell Road. While this part of LG is still part of the rat run, this part of LG is curved and so speeds are naturally slower; also, traffic often slows here for cars coming out of or turning into Pennant Mews. So, I would say that only a single speed bump is needed on this stretch, just after cars turn the corner into the spur road, from the southern corner of LG Square.
- It is also difficult to speed on the section running between Marloes Road and Earl's Court Road, since, like the north side of LG square, is almost always fully parked up on both sides (with cars moving in and out) and here there is often double parking with cars, taxis, minicabs and delivery vans. Unlike the south side of LG square which is used regularly as a rat run and therefore needs a speed bump, this section of LG probably only needs two bumps, instead of the four indicated.

Support in Part – Three

The only area where they could be useful is on the south side of the garden square. Since the 20 mph limit has been introduced, the traffic has slowed down. It's only when the very occasional Lamborghini goes through that it can be loud, but doesn't mean the car is being driven over the 20 limit

One bump on the one way narrow upper side of the square is a complete waste of materials and money. It's impossible to go fast on that side as it is narrow with parking on both sides, and cyclists coming thru Lexham Walk and travelling thru in the wrong direction to Marloes Road.

On the dog leg to Cromwell Road there is traffic stopping and waiting at the 3 hotels, traffic coming onto Lexham Gardens from Pennant Mews where there is a slight bend in Lexham Gardens Road. There is also traffic turning from Cromwell Road onto Lexham Gardens and travelling in the direction of Marloes Road. It can actually get congested. A waste of money to install bumps.

Re travelling from Marloes Road to Earls Court, there are a lot of delivery vans, plus cars double parked along that stretch. I have never found that there is a danger due to cars going over the speed limit

Please don't waste the tax payers money!!

Support in Part – Four

My experience of road humps is that people accelerate and brake, leading to an increase in noise and pollution levels and sometimes a diversion of attention from pedestrian activity. However, in view of your claims for the effect of 60-metre spaced humps, it would be interesting to see post-installation feedback from residents of Drayton Gardens and Hogarth Road.

ENDS