



Lead Officer – Allan Evans 07816 991 973 traffic@rbkc.gov.uk

Closing date for statutory consultation for proposal - 6th July 2022

Number of objections received – One objection, one email of support and one comment

Reasons for objections

Objection One

“As a local resident who cycles and drives regularly along the road outside Oakwood Court, I object to the proposal to put in humps along this road for the reason that I think that they would be an unnecessary waste of money. This is a relatively short stretch of road, and should not require humps to control traffic speeds. In my experience, there is not a problem of speeding here.”

Support One (Holland Park Residents’ Association)

“We write in support of the installation of the proposed traffic-calming measures on Oakwood Court. Oakwood Court, like the streets called Holland Park, comprises residential streets and, like Holland Park, should not be capable of being used as a high-speed cut-through for commuter traffic.”

Comment One (Metropolitan Police)

“Many thanks for the email. The Met Police have no objection or observation to add with regard to the proposals.

I would be interested to see any follow up speed surveys post implementation.

Our reference: BS1039TD2022.”

Council's response to objections

Response to Objection One

This road hump scheme is a result of an application for NCIL funding by the Oakwood Court Residents Association for traffic calming on Oakwood Court to address concerns that drivers were speeding on Oakwood Court and using it as a cut through. The application was subsequently approved by Holland ward councillors. The ringfenced NCIL funds are specifically reserved for schemes requested by local residents. As this scheme was a resident-led initiative, it was not subject to our usual policy tests for the installation of road humps, such as a proven history of speeding or personal injury collisions.

Following the successful application we held a local consultation on the proposed scheme, which involved a letter drop of 248 letters. 82 per cent of the respondents to the consultation supported the scheme and the decision to proceed was taken by the Lead Member for Planning, Place and Environment, ward Councillors and the Council's Director for Streets and Regulatory Services.

Regarding the objector's concerns regarding the road humps, the Council intends to install sinusoidal road humps, which have a smoother profile than 'standard' round topped humps and provide a smoother ride when cycling over them and reduce noise from vehicles.

With regards to the allocation of funds, should this scheme not go ahead the funds would return to the NCIL funding pot, to be allocated during the next round of NCIL applications.

Response to Comment One

The council do not currently have any plans for follow up surveys, but there is a benefit in monitoring the changes in driver behaviour along these roads.

The council run regular batches of traffic surveys and will consider adding Oakwood Court into the next batch of surveys, following implementation of the road humps. If the council do gather any further traffic data, it will be shared the with the Met Police.

Decision

Officers recommend that the proposal to install five sinusoidal road humps on Oakwood Court (north and south arms):

- i. 2 metres east of the common boundary of Nos. 1 to 14 and 1 to 7 'Manderley' Oakwood Court;
- ii. 4 metres east of the common boundary of Nos. 117 to 132 and 133 to 148 Oakwood Court;
- iii. 6 metres west of the common boundary of Nos. 51 to 62 and 63 to 84 Oakwood Court;
- iv. In-line with the north facing facia of Nos. 117 to 132 Oakwood Court; and
- v. 4 metres west of the common boundary of Nos. 1 to 3 'Oakwood Mansions' and 85 to 100 Oakwood Court.

should proceed as originally advertised.

Date of decision: 16 August 2022